PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

JONATHAN AGMON SOROKER-AGMON, ADVOCATES

AND PATENT ATTORNEYS		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE					
NOLTON HUSE 14 SHENKAR STREET HERZLIYA PITUACH, ISRAEL 46725		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 17 FEB 2005					
Applicant's or agent's file refe	erence	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
4420.3							
International application No. International file		e (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/IL04/00420 18 May 2004 (18.05.2004)							
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC							
IPC(7): G06F 17/60 and US CL: 705/40, 30, 32							
Applicant							
MTS MER TELEMANAGEME	ENT SOLUTIONS LTD.						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:							
Box No. I Bas	Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Pri	Priority						
Box No. III No	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lac	Lack of unity of invention						
	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Cer	Certain documents cited						
Box No. VII Cer	Certain defects in the international application						
Box No. VIII Cer	Certain observations on the international application						
2. FURTHER ACTION							
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Alexandria Authorized officer Robert Olszewski Telephone No. (703) 308-1113							
Facsimile No. (703)305-3230							

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL04/00420

Box No. 1 Basis of this opinion
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material
in written format
in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing
contained in international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:
·
DCT/ISA/227/Dog No. D. (James) 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL04/00420

1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-39	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	29-39	YES
	Claims	1-28	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-39	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO

Claims 1-28 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Pintsov. Pintsov makes obvious the limitations of the claims, specifically in paragraphs 0010-0013.

Claims 29-39 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the limitation of "gen erating difference indicators and merge the comparison bill and the difference indicators into a verification bill in the at least one bill verification device".

Claims 1-38 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.